



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/087,152

03/01/2002

Rene P. Helbing

10004263-1

2625

7590

02/25/2005

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

P.O. Box 7599

Loveland, CO 80537-0599

EXAMINER

TRAN, DZUNG D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2633

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,152	HELBING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dzung D Tran	2633	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03/01/2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Specification***

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-8, 11-16, 19-23 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kashihara et al. (hereinafter Kashihara) US Patent no. 6,567,587.

Regarding claim 1, Kashihara discloses an apparatus for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical communication network, comprising:

at least one optical fiber 15 of figure 2 that carrying a light beam  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  having different wavelengths, see col. 3, line 12, (equivalent to optical medium having a signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths), a portion of the signal on each wavelength (e.g. since the light beam is a multiplexed signal having wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, thus a portion of the light beam on each wavelength  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2, \dots$  or  $\lambda_n$ );

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 having input waveguide 3 (col. 2, line 48) adapted to receive the plurality of wavelengths from the optical fiber 15 and

Art Unit: 2633

**divide** the plurality of wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, into individual wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ . Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion. Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion; and

a **multiplexer/demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 adapted to receive each wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  and **combine** the wavelengths onto the waveguide 3 then output to optical medium 15.

Regarding claims 13 and 20, Kashihara discloses an apparatus/method for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical network, comprising:

an optical fiber 15 of figure 2 that carrying a WDM signal for supplying a signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths to a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer); a portion of the signal on each wavelength (e.g. since the light beam is a multiplexed signal having wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, thus a portion of the light beam on each wavelength  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2, \dots$  or  $\lambda_n$ );

a multiplexer/**demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 for **dividing** the plurality of wavelengths into individual wavelengths  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2, \dots$  or  $\lambda_n$ ;

Kashihara further discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 (col. 4, lines 65-66) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion. Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion; and

a **multiplexer**/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 for **combining** each wavelength onto an optical medium.

Regarding claims 2 and 21, Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating so that each wavelength relatively to reduce inter wavelength spectral dispersion. Furthermore, the dispersion compensation of Kashihara is constructed as same as the claimed dispersion compensation, thus, it would be inherently that it is capable to reduce inter-wavelength spectral dispersion.

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Kashihara discloses the dispersion compensation element 7b is a Bragg grating (col. 3, lines 29, 37).

Regarding claim 4, Kashihara discloses in col. 5, lines 3-4, the using of a fiber Bragg grating for dispersion compensation.

Regarding claim 5, Kashihara discloses in col. 4, lines 65-66, the dispersion compensation elements 7b is a waveguide Bragg grating.

Regarding claim 6, Kashihara discloses a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are a surface diffraction grating (col. 2, lines 63-65).

Regarding claim 7, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array waveguide (AWG) (col. 4, lines 19-21).

Regarding claims 8, 16 and 23, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array waveguide (col. 4, lines 19-21) and the dispersion compensation elements are waveguide Bragg gratings (col. 4, lines 65-66) and the array waveguide and the waveguide Bragg gratings are combined on a single optical substrate 2 of figure 1.

Regarding claims 11, 19 and 26, Kashihara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, for adjusting the delay time of the Bragg grating to compensate for each wavelength dispersion. Thus it would be inherently that the dispersion compensation element correlates the optical signal on each wavelength with respect to time.

Regarding claims 15 and 22, Kashihara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer and the demultiplexer) are an array

waveguide (AWG) (col. 4, lines 19-21) and the dispersion compensation elements 7b is a waveguide Bragg grating (col. 4, lines 65-66).

Regarding claims 27 and 31, Kashiwara discloses spectral dispersion compensator for an optical signal distributed over a plurality of wavelengths, the dispersion compensator comprising:

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer) for spatially dividing an incoming optical signal according to the wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ ; the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66), Kashiwara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16, for adjusting the delay time of the Bragg grating to compensate for wavelength dispersion; and

a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) for combining the wavelengths as adjusted into an outgoing optical signal.

Regarding claims 28 and 32, Kashiwara discloses an optical coupler 11 for coupling the incoming optical signal from a first optical fiber 13 to the multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a demultiplexer) and for coupling the outgoing optical signal from the a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) into a second optical fiber 14.

Regarding claim 29, Kashiwara further discloses the optical coupler 11 is an optical circulator (figure 2, col. 5, line 17).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9, 17, 24, 30 and 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashihara et al. US Patent no. 6,567,587 in view of Richardson et al. US Patent no. 6,628,864.

Regarding claims 9, 17, 24 and 30, as per claims above, Kashihara discloses all the limitations except for the optical network is an optical code division multiple access (OCDMA) network. Richardson discloses the OCDMA optical network (figure 7) having OCDMA coder 275 and 260 (col. 11, lines 59-60), which generate the OCDMA code for modulating with an optical signal then transmit the OCDMA coded signal to the transmission link 300 (col. 11, lines 61-67). Since CDMA or CDMA for optical telecommunication (i.e. OCDMA) is well recordnized in the art for spreading spectrum technique that permits a large number of separate users to share the same extended transmission bandwidth but to be individually addressable through the allocation of specific address codes (col. 1, lines 24-28 of Richardson), it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Richardson that is encoding the OCDMA in the high speed and large capacity optical



communication system of Kashihara (col. 1, lines 13-14 of Kashihara). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to improve cross-talk performance, asynchronous access and potential for improved system security (col. 1, lines 48-51 of Richardson).

Regarding claim 35, Kashihara discloses an apparatus for spectral dispersion compensation in an optical communication network, comprising:

a multiplexer/**demultiplexer** 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 having input waveguide 3 (col. 2, line 48) adapted to receive the plurality of wavelengths from the optical fiber 15 and **divide** the plurality of wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , see col. 3, line 12, into individual wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ . Kashihara discloses in figure 2, a dispersion compensation 1 (col. 2, line 46) (equivalent to dispersion correction mean, see specification page 6, lines 4-6) for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ , Kashihara also discloses in col. 3, line 37 to col. 4, line 16 to adjust the delay time of the Bragg grating for wavelength dispersion compensation; and

a **multiplexer**/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 adapted to receive each wavelength  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  and **combine** the wavelengths onto the waveguide 3 then output to optical medium 15.

Kashihara does not disclose the optical network is an optical code division multiple access (OCDMA) network. Richardson discloses the OCDMA optical network (figure 7) having OCDMA coder 275 and 260 (col. 11, lines 59-60), which generate the OCDMA code for modulating with an optical signal then transmit the OCDMA coded signal to the transmission link 300 (col. 11, lines 61-67). Since CDMA or CDMA for

Art Unit: 2633

optical telecommunication (i.e. OCDMA) is well recordnized in the art for spreading spectrum technique that permits a large number of separate users to share the same extended transmission bandwidth but to be individually addressable through the allocation of specific address codes (col. 1, lines 24-28 of Richardson), it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Richardson that is encoding the OCDMA in the high speed and large capacity optical communication system of Kashiwara (col. 1, lines 13-14 of Kashiwara). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to improve cross-talk performance, asynchronous access and potential for improved system security (col. 1, lines 48-51 of Richardson).

Regarding claim 36, Kashiwara discloses in figure 1, the Bragg gratings 7b connected to the plurality of output waveguides 7 for dispersion compensation each wavelengths  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$  (col. 4, lines 65-66).

Regarding claim 37, Kashiwara discloses the dispersion compensation element 7b is a Bragg grating (col. 3, lines 29, 37).

Regarding claim 38, Kashiwara discloses a multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to a multiplexer) serving as both the multiplexer means and the demultiplexer means.

Regarding claim 39, Kashiwara discloses multiplexer/demultiplexer 4, 5, 6 of figure 1 (equivalent to the multiplexer) and the Bragg gratings are combined on a single optical substrate (figure 1, element 2).

5. Claims 10, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kashihara et al. US Patent no. 6,567,587 in view of Miyauchi et al. US Patent no. 6,570,691.

Regarding claims 10, 18 and 25, as per claims above, Kashihara discloses all the limitations except for the dispersion compensation element is located at an endpoint of the optical communication network. Miyauchi discloses an optical transmission system having a dispersion compensation element 14 located at the receiver 7. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to implement the teaching of Miyauchi that is impose the dispersion compensation element at the receiver side of the optical communication system of Kashihara. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since the advantage of using the dispersion compensation element (or Bragg grating) is that the amount of reflectivity of the grating can be chosen so as to provide a desired output coupling, which can be used to optimize output power, efficiency and improve cross-talk performance at the receiving end.

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a. Trisnadi et al. U.S. publication no. 2002/0196492. Method and apparatus for dynamic equalization in wavelength division multiplexing

Art Unit: 2633

b. Tachikawa et al. U.S. patent no. 5,414,548. Arrayed-wave guide grating multi/demultiplexer with loopback paths

c. Richardson et al. U.S. publication no. 2003/0035187. Optical receiver, optical receiving method and optical transmission system

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Dzung Tran

02/11/2005

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dzung Tran". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.